RERIC

www.rericjournal.ait.ac.th

Impact of Widespread Electric Vehicle Penetration on Distribution Network Infrastructure: A Comprehensive Analysis

Manish Kumar Singh*, [1], K.S. Verma*, Bindeshwar Singh*, Prem Narayan Verma*, Nitish Kumar Rai*, and Jahnavi*

Abstract – *The acceptance of electric vehicles, or EVs, is soaring, which offers opportunities and problems for the architecture of current distribution networks. With more people driving electric cars, there will likely be a large increase in the power demand, which might put unprecedented strain on the distribution network. The effects of widespread EV integration on distribution network architecture are thoroughly examined in this paper. Electricity distribution networks face opportunities and obstacles as the number of electric vehicles (EVs) increases. The increased use of EVs is predicted to increase power demand, particularly during peak hours, which could pressure the infrastructure already in place, including feeders, substations, and transformers. This increasing demand may result in equipment overload, voltage drops, and higher system losses, requiring major network capacity and reliability upgrades. On the other hand, developments in demand response tactics and smart charging technology present viable remedies. These include vehicle-to-grid (V2G) technology, which enables EVs to return energy to the grid, and load shifting, which incentivizes charging during off-peak hours. Distribution networks can handle the expansion of electric vehicles (EVs) while reducing risks and guaranteeing system stability using technological innovation and strategic planning.*

Keywords – Distribution Networks; E-Vehicles; Grids to Vehicles; Optimizations Techniques; Power Grids; Vehicles to Grids.

1. INTRODUCTION

At a rate never seen before, technological advancements, governmental initiatives, and growing environmental concerns are propelling the global transition to electric vehicles (EVs). Electric vehicles (EVs) are positioned to play a big role in decreasing greenhouse gas emissions and meeting climate goals since they are more environmentally friendly and sustainable than vehicles powered by internal combustion engines. But there are also a lot of potential issues for the current power grid infrastructure as EV usage rises.

The growing prevalence of electric vehicles raises the demand for electrical power due to their exclusive reliance on electricity for propulsion. As the number of EVs on the road increases, it places additional strain on power grids. Zheng et al. [1] identified a promising opportunity for establishing reliable mobile internet connections at scale from vehicles. Kim et al. [2] proposed studying and characterizing the impact of glass interposer power DS resonance on fast connectivity through glass channels. Wang et al. [3] introduced a two-stage CS organizing technique for EV-sharing networks. Li et al. [4] developed an model of interactive decision-making for EVs and PS based on energy and reserve using a generalized Stackelberg game. Chen et al. [5] proposed an EV incentive program in BC with the intention of encouraging the usage of renewable energy. Wang et al. [6] suggested utilizing crowdsourcing to optimize routes for fully electric vehicles in a context-aware and energy-driven manner. Li et al. [7] recommended controlling PHEV charging and WPG scheduling in conjunction. Tsuji et al. [8] reported using VHDL-AMS HV whole vehicle simulation techniques in their study. the concept planning and design of vehicles, fuel efficiency, and power output estimation are crucial factors. Wang and colleagues (9) suggested the stochastic coordination of EV charging stations with power scheduling for vehicle design. Cao and team (10) recommended concurrently optimizing autonomous EV charging scheduling and station battery deterioration. Yang et al. (11) provided a distributed control system with overload protection for charging multiple EVs. Yu et al. (12) introduced a Networked EV public charging in a hierarchical game with a time-based payment system. Rock et al. (13) presented dynamic routing in multi-AGV systems based on time windows. Ko and Associates. (14) introduced performance-based settlement of frequency regulation for EV aggregators. Wu et al. (15) demonstrated an autonomous ground vehicle Active disturbance rejection control and nonsingular terminal sliding mode are the foundations of path-following control. Kang and colleagues (16) presented a kinematic vehicle model used in a multi-rate lane-keeping system. In addition to providing case studies for market participation, Han et al. (17) calculated the possible power capacity that plug-in EVs could provide for V2G frequency regulation. Choi et al. (18) used a road source to demonstrate an ultra-thin S-type power supply rail for electric vehicles. Lima et al. (19) showed the CO2 emissions and the best possible distribution of renewable energy sources and EV charging stations. Synchronizing attitudes for flexible

*Kamla Nehru Institute of Technology, Sultanpur, U.P., India.

[1] Corresponding author;
E-mail: smanish19th@gmail.com; ksv211@rediffmail.com; bindeshwar.singh2025@gmail.com; pnvknit@gmail.com; Nitishrai249@gmail.com; jahnavisingh0416@gmail.com

spacecraft with communication delays was suggested by Du et al. (20). A cost-benefit analysis for V2G EV installation in distribution systems (DS) was presented by Singh et al. (21). Zhao and associates. (22) suggested a distribution system with strong distributed generation investment to support EV charging. Wang et al. (23) presented a traffic-constrained multi-objective planning approach for EV charging stations. Zhang et al. (24) recommended efficient charging scheduling for electric vehicles based on deep reinforcement learning. Lopes and coauthors (25) presented EV integration into the electric power system. Yan et al. (26) proposed an effective and dependable rotation-free wireless power transmission system for autonomous underwater vehicles. Dicorato et al. (27) advised the administration of EV fleets to employ an integrated DC microgrid system. Berntorp et al. (28) introduced positive invariant sets for safe integrated vehicle motion planning and control. Alharbi et al. (29) introduced a smart energy micro-hub as an EV charging station. Maalej et al. (30) suggested online mass estimation and long-distance optimal energy planning for battery electric cars. Xu and associates. (31) introduced a tiered framework for overseeing plug-in hybrid electric vehicle recharge in China. Yang and associates (32) demonstrated the use of an EV operating strategy for microgrid balancing of wind power generation and load changes. Bae et al. (33) suggested a temporal and spatial model for the demand for EV charging. Mi et al. [34] report EVs being driven on a road. Ouda et al. suggested a wide-range adaptive RF-to-DC power converter for UHF RFIDs. [35]. According to Ny et al. [36], unmanned vehicle systems have the potential to be deployed flexibly under communication constraints. Ali et al. [37] introduced a technique for preserving privacy in vehicle-to-vehicle communication on VANETs, utilizing ECC to ensure both efficacy and verifiable safety. In urban environment perception, Nguyen et al. [38] recommended the adoption of occupancy grid and object tracking through stereo-camera-based methods. Fu et al. and their colleagues also contributed to the advancement of this field. [39] A distinctive approach to coupling power supplies for hollow cathode applications on spaceships has been developed, with a focus on achieving high power density, efficiency, and rapid dynamic response. An analysis by Gomez and colleagues [40] emphasized the influence of electric vehicle battery chargers on power quality in direct current systems.

1.1 *Impact of EV on Distribution Network*

1.1.1 *Minuses*

Peak Load Demand
Voltage Stability
Power Quality
Power Loss

1.1.2 *Pluses*

Economic Benefit
V2G

1.2 *Some of the Key Impacts of Electric Vehicle (EV) Planning*

1.2.1 *Increased load demand*

Higher Energy Consumption**:** The current distribution network may be strained as a result of EVs' increased overall demand for electricity, particularly during peak charging periods.

Load Peaks: Concentrated EV charging can lead to load peaks, potentially overloading transformers and distribution lines, necessitating increased capacity upgrades.

1.2.2 *Voltage stability issues*

Voltage Fluctuations**:** The additional load from EV charging can cause voltage drops, especially in areas with weaker grid infrastructure.

Reactive Power Demand**:** EVs can impact the reactive power balance, leading to voltage stability problems if not properly managed.

1.2.3 *Infrastructure upgrades*

Capacity Reinforcement**:** To handle the increased load from EVs, distribution networks might require infrastructure upgrades, including transformers, substations, and distribution lines.

Smart Grid Integration: Implementing smart grid technologies can help manage EV charging more efficiently, reducing the need for extensive physical upgrades.

1.2.4 *Impact on peak load management*

Shifting Peak Loads: EV charging can shift peak loads, potentially creating new peak times that the network must accommodate.

Demand Response Programs: Utilities might implement demand response strategies to manage when and how EVs charge, flattening peaks and optimizing load profiles.

1.2.5 *Energy losses*

Increased Losses: Higher loads lead to increased power losses in distribution networks, reducing overall system efficiency.

Thermal Limits: Additional EV loads can cause network components to exceed their thermal limits, leading to overheating and damage.

1.2.6 *Grid reliability and stability*

Increased Fault Risk: With higher load demand, the risk of faults in the distribution network can grow, impacting reliability.

Protection System Adjustments: Existing protection schemes may need to be revised to accommodate the changing load profiles introduced by EVs.

1.2.7 *Economic Impacts*

Investment Costs: Significant investments are required to upgrade distribution infrastructure and integrate smart grid technologies.

©2025. Published by RERIC in International Energy Journal (IEJ), selection and/or peer-reviewed under the responsibility of the Organizers of the **"International Conference on Energy Transition and Innovation in Green Technology (ICETIGT 2024)"** and the Guest Editors: Dr. Prabhakar Tiwari and Dr. Shekhar Yadav of Madan Mohan Malaviya University of Technology, Gorakhpur, India.
www.rericjournal.ait.ac.th

Tariff Design**:** New tariff structures may be needed to encourage off-peak charging and manage demand more effectively.

1.2.8 Environmental impacts

Reduction in Emissions: If powered by renewable energy sources, EVs can contribute to lower greenhouse gas emissions.
Strain on Renewable Integration: However, the increased demand from Evs can strain the integration of intermittent renewable energy sources into the grid.

1.2.9 Opportunities for grid flexibility

Vehicle-to-Grid (V2G) Services: Evs can act as distributed energy resources, providing services like load balancing and frequency regulation, enhancing grid flexibility.
Energy Storage: When there is a shortfall of supply or high demand, EV batteries can be employed as mobile energy storage devices to help stabilize the grid..

1.2.10 Regulatory and planning challenges

Policy and Regulation: Effective EV integration into the distribution network requires updated regulations and policies that promote smart charging and infrastructure development.
Long-Term Planning: Utilities need to engage in long-term planning to anticipate and manage the impacts of growing EV adoption on the distribution network.
Effective EV planning in distribution networks is crucial to ensure reliable, efficient, and sustainable grid operation, requiring a balanced approach that considers technical, economic, and environmental factors.

1.3 The Main Types of Load Models Used in EV Planning:

1.3.1 Constant power load (P-Q Model)

Description: This is the most commonly used load model. The load consumes a fixed amount of whatever voltage is used on the bus, true power (P), and wattless power (Q).
Applications: Suitable for loads like electronic devices or motors with power electronics, which tend to draw constant power from the grid.
Impact on EV Planning: While this model is quite easy to grasp, it might fail to portray the voltage-dependent attributes of actual loads appropriately.

1.3.2 Constant current load

Description: In this model, the current drawn by the load is independent of voltage variations, meaning the power consumed changes with voltage.
Applications: Often used for loads such as lighting or heating that exhibit relatively constant current behavior.
Impact on EV Planning: The model is used to represent systems where the current remains steady, allowing for a more detailed analysis of voltage impacts.

1.3.3 Constant impedance load (Z Model)

Description: The load behaves like a fixed impedance. The square of the voltage determines how much electricity the load uses.
Applications: Suitable for incandescent lighting and other resistive loads.
Impact on EV Planning: As voltage increases, the load power consumption rises quadratically. This model provides insight into voltage-sensitive systems.

1.3.4 Exponential load model

Description: This model represents loads where power varies as an exponential function of voltage. The relationship is typically expressed as:
Applications: Can be used for more complex or aggregated loads that do not fit well into constant power, current, or impedance models.
Impact on EV Planning: Allows for a more flexible and accurate representation of how real loads behave under varying voltages, particularly useful in cases where voltage changes significantly.

1.3.5 ZIP model (polynomial load model)

Description: Constants for impedance (Z), current (I), and power (P) loads are combined in this model.
Applications: Used for representing more complex load behaviors where loads exhibit a mixture of impedance, current, and power characteristics.
Impact on EV Planning: Offers a highly flexible approach for modeling load behaviors, and improves accuracy when analyzing voltage-sensitive systems.

1.3.6 Time-varying load models

Description: These models capture the variation in load over time, typically based on load profiles. They consider how the load changes during different times of the day or under different conditions.
Applications: Used for residential, commercial, or industrial loads that exhibit significant variation over time (e.g., peak and off-peak periods).
Impact on EV Planning: Essential for analyzing the performance of EV units under real-world load fluctuations, particularly for renewable energy sources.

1.3.7 Stochastic load models

Description: These models incorporate randomness into load predictions, often using probability distributions to represent load uncertainty.
Applications: Useful in scenarios where load behavior is unpredictable or subject to random variations, such as in microgrids or systems with high EV penetration.
Impact on EV Planning: Improves the robustness of EV planning by accounting for uncertainties in load forecasting and variations.

1.3.8 Voltage-dependent load models

Description: These models represent how loads vary depending on the voltage. They include ZIP models but also any other models where load consumption is a function of voltage.

Applications: Applied in systems where voltage fluctuations are common, such as weak grids or systems with a high EV penetration.
Impact on EV Planning: These models provide better insights into how voltage variations impact load consumption, which is crucial for voltage stability studies.

1.3.9 Dynamic Load Models

Description: These models consider the dynamic characteristics of loads, particularly in response to disturbances or changes in system conditions. Dynamic load models often include motors, HVAC systems, and other loads with inertia.
Applications: Used for transient and stability analysis, particularly when analyzing EV impact on system stability.

2. MATHEMATICAL PROBLEM FORMULATION

This section discusses the objective function and mathematical modeling for several types of EVs.
The many types of EVs are as follows:

2.1 BEV

This specific type of vehicle obtains all of its energy from its batteries rather than its exterior engines.

2.2 PHEV

This kind of vehicle supplies its internal combustion unit or another drive system with extra fuel, which could be gasoline or diesel, and its electric motor is supplied via batteries.

2.3 FCEV

An electric car with a fuel cell-powered internal motor with power infrequently augmented by an extremely small battery or a supercapacitor

2.4 Ex-PHEV

An electric car (EV) that primarily provides motor power but also has a smaller engine that uses combustion to create extra electricity.

Equation (1) yields the estimated apparent power for the central substation in MVA excluding EVs.

$$S_{WODG} = \sqrt{P_G{}^2 + Q_G{}^2} \tag{1}$$

where Q_G is the reactive power produced in MVAR and P_G is the active power produced at the generating plant in MW.

Equation (2) shows the main substation's perceived power in MVA determined by BEV.

$$S_{WBEV} = \sqrt{(P_G + P_{BEV})^2 + Q_G{}^2} \tag{2}$$

Where P_{BEV}= realistic power generation in MW from BEVs.

The obvious power in MVA of the large substation with PHEV is exhibited. in eqn. (3).

$$S_{WPHEV} = \sqrt{(P_G + P_{PHEV})^2 + \left(Q_G + Q_{PHEV}\right)^2} \tag{3}$$

Where P_{PHEV} = actual PHEV electricity delivered in megawatts and Q_{PHEV} = PHEV-delivered reactive power in MVAR.

The obvious power in MVA of the large substation with FCEV is exhibited in eqn. (4).

$$S_{WFCEV} = \sqrt{\left(P_G + P_{FCEV}\right)^2 + Q_G{}^2} \tag{4}$$

Where P_{FCEV} = FCEV-provided reactive power in MVAR.

The obvious power in MVA of The huge substation with Ex-EV is exhibited in eqn. (5).

$$S_{WEx-PHEV} = \sqrt{(P_G + P_{Ex-PHEV})^2 + \left(Q_G \pm Q_{Ex-PHEV}\right)^2} \tag{5}$$

Where $P_{Ex\text{-}PHEV}$ = true power output in MW from the Ex-PHEV and $Q_{Ex\text{-}PHEV}$ = reactive energy used and released in MVAR by Ex-PHEV. The target of this purposive objective function is to mitigate the entire genuine loss of power in the system. (P_L) for optimal EV planning as given by Eqn. (6).

$$P_{loss} = \sum_{m,n \in N} \frac{P^2 + Q^2}{\left|V_{m\_bus}\right|^2} r_{mn\_bus} \tag{6}$$

For every line resistance and system bus voltage, the PL is a variable. $\left(r_{mn\_bus}\right)$.

Table 1 (a): Comparing the attributes of several EV types.

S. No	Feature	BEV	FCEV	PHEV	ER-PHEV
1	Dimensions of Batteries (kWh).	50- 100	1.0 - 3.0	5 – 18	15 -40
2	Energy Source	Batteries	Fuel Cell generating Hydrogen	Batteries + ICE	Batteries + Small ICE
3	Power Retention	Li-ion Batteries	Vessel of Hydrogen	Batteries + Fuel Vessel	Batteries
4	Maintaining Expense	Minimal	mild	mild	mild
5	Cost	mild to elevated	elevated	mild	mild to elevated

Table 1 (b): Different kinds of four-quadrant EVs' true and wattless powers.

EVs	True power	Wattless power
BEVs	TP	-
PHEVs	TP	WP
Ex-PHEVs	TP	WP
FCEVs	TP	-

This document is set up as follows: Section 1 covers the Overview; Section 2 covers the formulation of the mathematical problem; and Section 3 covers the taxonomies investigation of EVs in DS planning. Section 3 not only outlines the work but also illustrates the conclusion and future scope. Tables 2(a) and (b) exhibit the taxonomical review of the consequence of EVs in DNs planning.

Table 2(a). The EV scheduling method through experimentation.

Ref. no.	Author	Pub. Year	Type of EV	System Implications	Objective tactics	Modifying parameters	Suggested techniques	Loading models	Future regions
[1]	Zheng *et. al.*	2014	BEVs	System flexibility	P_{LOSS}	Position & Varieties	LTE	CLMs	ALMs
[12]	Kim *et. al.*	2016	BEVs	System flexibility	P_{LOSS}	Position and dimensions	PDN	CLMs	COTs
[3]	Wang *et. al.*	2021	BEVs	System reliability	Q_{LOSS}	Position & Varieties	SEN	ALMs	SLMs
[4]	Li *et. al.*	2018	BEVs	System flexibility	P_{LOSS} & Q_{LOSS}	Position and dimensions	IDM	CLMs	ALMs
[5]	Chen *et. al.*	2020	BEVs	System load ability	Q_{LOSS}	Position & Varieties	EVE	ALMs	SLMs
[6]	Wang *et. al.*	2013	BEVs	System flexibility	P_{LOSS}	Position & Varieties	ADAS	CLMs	ALMs
[7]	Lis *et. al.*	2012	BEVs	System Security	P_{LOSS} & Q_{LOSS}	time & energy	HCA	CLMs	ALMs
[8]	Tsuji *et. al.*	2012	BEVs	System Security	P_{LOSS} & Q_{LOSS}	Position & Varieties	VHDL-AMS	CLMs	ALMs
[9]	Wang *et. al.*	2016	BEVs	System accuracy	Q_{LOSS}	Energy usage	CSP	CLMs	ALMs
[10]	Cao *et. al.*	2020	BEVs	System load ability	Q_{LOSS}	Position and dimensions	CSRL	CLMs	ALMs
[11]	Yang *et. al.*.	2016	PHEVs	System reliability	Q_{LOSS}	Position & Varieties	OT	CLMs	ALMs
[12]	Yu *et. al.*	2020	PHEVs	System flexibility	P_{LOSS}	Time and position	TBM	CLMs	ALMs
[13]	Rock *et. al.*	2010	PHEVs	System Security	P_{LOSS}	Position & Varieties	DR	CLMs	ALMs
[14]	Ko *et. al.*	2016	PHEVs	System reliability	Q_{LOSS}	Position & Varieties	PBS	CLMs	COTs
[15]	Wu *et. al.*	2015	PHEVs	System flexibility	P_L & Q_L	Position and dimensions	NTSM	CLMs	ALMs
[16]	Kang *et. al.*	2018	PHEVs	System flexibility	Q_{LOSS}	Position & Varieties	KM	CLMs	ALMs
[17]	Han *et. al.*	2011	PHEVs	System reliability	Q_{LOSS}	Position and dimensions	APC	CLMs	ALMs
[18]	Choi *et. al.*	2015	PHEVs	system dynamic	P_{LOSS}	Position & Varieties	FEA	CLMs	ALMs
[19]	Lima *et. al.*	2021	PHEVs	System flexibility	P_{LOSS} & Q_{LOSS}	Sorts and dimensions	CFM	CLMs	ALMs
[20]	Du *et. al.*	2016	PHEVs	System load ability	P_{LOSS} & Q_{LOSS}	Position & Varieties	CMOS	CLMs	ALMs

Table 2(b). The iterative search methods for EV planning based on mathematics.

Ref. no.	Author	Pub. Year	Type of EV	System Implications	Objective tactics	Modifying parameters	Suggested techniques	Loading models	Future regions
[21]	Singh *et. al.*	2020	BEVs	System reliability	Q_{LOSS}	Position and dimensions	APD	ALMs	MAO
[22]	Zhao et al.	2018	BEVs	System reliability	Q_{LOSS}	Position & Varieties	RDG	CLMs	ALMs
[23]	Wang *et. al.*	2013	BEVs	System reliability	P_{LOSS}	Position and dimensions	DEA	CLMs	MAO
[24]	Zhang et al.	2020	BEVs	System controllability	P_{LOSS} & Q_{LOSS}	Position & Varieties	RLA	CLMs	ALMs
[25]	Lopes *et. al.*	2009	BEVs	Motion stabilization	Q_{LOSS}	Position & Varieties	HC	CLMs	ALMs
[26]	Yan *et. al.*	2018	BEVs	System flexibility	P_{LOSS} & Q_{LOSS}	Position and dimensions	WPT	CLMs	ALMs
[27]	Dicorato *et. al.*	2019	BEVs	System optimization	P_{LOSS}	Time and position	DOP	ALMs	SLMs
[28]	Berntorp *et. al.*.	2019	BEVs	System flexibility	P_{LOSS}	Time and position	RP	CLMs	ALMs
[29]	Alharbi *et. al.*	2016	BEVs	System load ability	P_{LOSS}	Position and dimensions	PAM	CLMs	MAO
[30]	Maalej *et. al.*	2015	BEVs	System load ability	P_{LOSS}	Position & Varieties	EPS	CLMs	RLMs

5. CONCLUSION

Any future system layout requires a thorough review of the literature. This research article describes the optimization techniques used to identify the optimal system configuration of the resulting metric.

System aspects like true and reactive power losses are lowered when diverse EV types—such as BEVs, FCEVs, PHEVs, and Ex-PHEVs—are positioned and enlarged suitably in DS with diverse load patterns.

The implementation, scaling, and supervision of multiple types of EVs in DS with suitable synchronization, when the load varies, helps to mitigate a variety of network performance difficulties. Utilizing numerous load models, DS minimizes processes and associated control of multiple EV categories in terms of location, design, and several process performance measures.

Using genuine load designs, the following parameter configurations can be used for EV scheduling in DS: EVs' shape and position, their scale, placement, and kinds, their dimension, placement, and collaboration, The reduction of several system characteristics, including actual and reactive power losses, IPL, ILQ, IVD, and IC, is achieved through the strategic positioning and sizing of different types of plug-in hybrid electric vehicles (PHEVs, FCEVs, BEVs, and Ex-PHEVs) in distribution systems with varying load models.

The reduction of several system characteristics, including actual and reactive power losses, %IPL, %ILQ, %IVD, and %IC, Cost Min, and Co2 emission is achieved through the strategic positioning and sizing of different types of plug-in hybrid electric vehicles (PHEVs, FCEVs, BEVs, and Ex-PHEVs) in distribution systems with varying load models. and their varieties, positioning, some sort, and integration are the first four aspects to consider [37.

6. FUTURE EXPANSION

The paper also suggests possible research areas for the following topics.

More complex optimization approaches such as WOA, Bat, and Ant Lion optimization. This effort can be extended by integrating electric vehicles with different types of charging patterns. Optimization, advanced Grey Wolf optimization, EV planning, and Cheetah optimization can be deployed to review the proposed system. This endeavor could be expanded by integrating EVs with different sorts of load models.

Ex-PHEV could be incorporated with genuine load models, such as composite load models, using the findings of the research:

ABBREVIATIONS

BEVs	Battery electric vehicles
CSs	Charging systems
DQN	Deep Q-learning procedure
DS	Distribution systems
Ev	E- vehicles
Ex-Ev	Extended-distance E- vehicles
FCEVs	Fuel cell electric vehicles
GA	Genetic algorithm
G2V	Grid to vehicles
MINs	Minimizations
PHEV	Plug-in hybrid electric vehicles
TP	True Power
PSO	Particle swarm optimizations
PQs	Power qualities
PVC	Photovoltaic cell
SGs	Smarter grids
V2G	Vehicles to grid
MAO	Multi-Aims Optimization

RESs	renewable electricity Sources
CLMs	Constant Loading Model
ALMs	Actual Loading model
IOTs	Internet Of Things
CT	Control Technique
BBM	Blockchain-Based Methodology
PBS	Probability-Based Strategy
TF	The Theoretical Framework
ML	Machine Learning
MILP	Mix Integer Linear Programmes
MINLP	Mix-Integer Non-Linear Programmes
NLP	Non-Linear Programmes
WP	Wattless Power

REFERENCES

[1] Zheng, Z. Lu, P. Sinha, and S. Kumar, "Ensuring Predictable Contact Opportunity for Scalable Vehicular Internet Access on the Go," in IEEE/ACM Transactions on Networking, vol. 23, no. 3, pp. 768-781, June 2015, Doi: 10.1109/TNET.2014.2309991.

[2] Kim et al., "Measurement and Analysis of Glass Interposer Power Distribution Network Resonance Effects on a High-Speed Through Glass Via Channel," in IEEE Transactions on Electromagnetic Compatibility, vol. 58, no. 6, pp. 1747-1759, Dec. 2016, Doi: 10.1109/TEMC.2016.2587824.

[3] Wang, C. Wang, Y. Niu, M. Yang, and Y. Yu, "A Two-Stage Charging Facilities Planning Method for Electric Vehicle Sharing Systems," in IEEE Transactions on Industry Applications, vol. 57, no. 1, pp. 149-157, Jan.-Feb. 2021, Doi: 10.1109/TIA.2020.3034557.

[4] Li et al., "An Interactive Decision-Making Model Based on Energy and Reserve for Electric Vehicles and Power Grid Using Generalized Stackelberg Game," in IEEE Transactions on Industry Applications, vol. 55, no. 4, pp. 3301-3309, July-Aug. 2019, Doi: 10.1109/TIA.2018.2870834.

[5] Chen, T. Zhang, W. Ye, Z. Wang and H. H. -C. Iu, "Blockchain-Based Electric Vehicle Incentive System for Renewable Energy Consumption," in IEEE Transactions on Circuits and Systems II: Express Briefs, vol. 68, no. 1, pp. 396-400, Jan. 2021, Doi: 10.1109/TCSII.2020.2996161.

[6] Wang, J. Jiang, and T. Mu, "Context-Aware and Energy-Driven Route Optimization for Fully Electric Vehicles via Crowdsourcing," in IEEE Transactions on Intelligent Transportation Systems, vol. 14, no. 3, pp. 1331-1345, Sept. 2013, Doi: 10.1109/TITS.2013.2261064.

[7] J. Sun, "Synergistic control of plug-in vehicle charging and wind power scheduling," in IEEE Transactions on Power Systems, vol. 28, no. 2, pp. 1113-1121, May 2013, Doi: 10.1109/TPWRS.2012.2211900.

[8] Tsuji and T. Kato, "The power performance and fuel economy estimation for vehicle concept planning and design using VHDL-AMS HV full vehicle simulation," 2012 IEEE 13th Workshop on Control and Modeling for Power Electronics (COMPEL), 2012, pp. 1-5, Doi: 10.1109/COMPEL.2012.6251792.

[9] Wang, Z. Y. Dong, F. Luo, K. Meng, and Y. Zhang, "Stochastic Collaborative Planning of Electric Vehicle Charging Stations and Power Distribution System," in IEEE Transactions on Industrial Informatics, vol. 14, no. 1, pp. 321-331, Jan. 2018, Doi: 10.1109/TII.2017.2662711.

[10] Cao, D. Li, Y. Zhang, and X. Chen, "Joint Optimization of Delay-Tolerant Autonomous Electric Vehicles Charge Scheduling and Station Battery Degradation," in IEEE Internet of Things Journal, vol. 7, no. 9, pp. 8590-8599, Sept. 2020, Doi: 10.1109/JIOT.2020.2992133.

[11] Yang, J. Li, Q. Han, T. He, C. Chen and X. Guan, "Distributed Control for Charging Multiple Electric Vehicles with Overload Limitation," in IEEE Transactions on Parallel and Distributed Systems, vol. 27, no. 12, pp. 3441-3454, 1 Dec. 2016, Doi: 10.1109/TPDS.2016.2533614.

[12] Z. Han, "Hierarchical Game for Networked Electric Vehicle Public Charging Under Time-Based Billing Model," in IEEE Transactions on Intelligent Transportation Systems, vol. 22, no. 1, pp. 518-530, Jan. 2021, Doi: 10.1109/TITS.2020.2994192.

[13] Petrovic, "Time Windows Based Dynamic Routing in Multi-AGV Systems," in IEEE Transactions on Automation Science and Engineering, vol. 7, no. 1, pp. 151-155, Jan. 2010, Doi: 10.1109/TASE.2009.2016350.

[14] Sung, "Performance-Based Settlement of Frequency Regulation for Electric Vehicle Aggregators," in IEEE Transactions on Smart Grid, vol. 9, no. 2, pp. 866-875, March 2018, Doi: 10.1109/TSG.2016.2570242.

[15] Zhang and Li, "Path Following Control of Autonomous Ground Vehicle Based on Nonsingular Terminal Sliding Mode and Active Disturbance Rejection Control," in IEEE Transactions on Vehicular Technology, vol. 68, no. 7, pp. 6379-6390, July 2019, Doi 10.1109/TVT.2019.2916982.

[16] Chung, "Multirate Lane-Keeping System with Kinematic Vehicle Model," in IEEE Transactions on Vehicular Technology, vol. 67, no. 10, pp. 9211-9222, Oct. 2018, Doi: 10.1109/TVT.2018.2864329.

[17] Sezaki, "Estimation of Achievable Power Capacity from Plug-in Electric Vehicles for V2G Frequency Regulation: Case Studies for Market Participation," in IEEE Transactions on Smart Grid, vol. 2, no. 4,

pp. 632-641, Dec. 2011, Doi: 10.1109/TSG.2011.2160299.

[18] T. Rim, "Ultraslim S-Type Power Supply Rails for Roadway-Powered Electric Vehicles," in IEEE Transactions on Power Electronics, vol. 30, no. 11, pp. 6456-6468, Nov. 2015, Doi: 10.1109/TPEL.2015.2444894.

[19] Zita Vale, "Joint Optimal Allocation of Electric Vehicle Charging Stations and Renewable Energy Sources Including CO2 Emissions," in Energy Inform, vol. 4, pp. 15385-000, 2021, Doi: 10.1186/s42162-021-00157-5.

[20] H. Du and S. Li, "Attitude Synchronization for Flexible Spacecraft with Communication Delays," in IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control, vol. 61, no. 11, pp. 3625-3630, Nov. 2016, Doi: 10.1109/TAC.2016.2525933.

[21] Tiwari, "Cost Benefit Analysis for V2G Implementation of Electric Vehicles in Distribution System," in IEEE Transactions on Industry Applications, vol. 56, no. 5, pp. 5963-5973, Sept.-Oct. 2020, Doi: 10.1109/TIA.2020.2986185.

[22] Wang, and J. Li, "Robust Distributed Generation Investment Accommodating Electric Vehicle Charging in a Distribution Network," in IEEE Transactions on Power Systems, vol. 33, no. 5, pp. 4654-4666, Sept. 2018, Doi: 10.1109/TPWRS.2018.2796645.

[23] Wong, "Traffic-Constrained Multiobjective Planning of Electric-Vehicle Charging Stations," in IEEE Transactions on Power Delivery, vol. 28, no. 4, pp. 2363-2372, Oct. 2013, Doi: 10.1109/TPWRD.2013.2269142.

[24] Fan, "Effective Charging Planning Based on Deep Reinforcement Learning for Electric Vehicles," in IEEE Transactions on Intelligent Transportation Systems, vol. 22, no. 1, pp. 542-554, Jan. 2021, Doi: 10.1109/TITS.2020.3002271.

[25] Almeida, "Integration of Electric Vehicles in the Electric Power System," in Proceedings of the IEEE, vol. 99, no. 1, pp. 168-183, Jan. 2011, Doi: 10.1109/JPROC.2010.2066250.

[26] Y. Hu, "A Rotation-Free Wireless Power Transfer System with Stable Output Power and Efficiency for Autonomous Underwater Vehicles," in IEEE Transactions on Power Electronics, vol. 34, no. 5, pp. 4005-4008, May 2019, Doi: 10.1109/TPEL.2018.2871316.

[27] G. Coppola, "An Integrated DC Microgrid Solution for Electric Vehicle Fleet Management," in IEEE Transactions on Industry Applications, vol. 55, no. 6, pp. 7347-7355, Nov.-Dec. 2019, Doi: 10.1109/TIA.2019.2926359.

[28] D. Cairano, "Positive Invariant Sets for Safe Integrated Vehicle Motion Planning and Control," in IEEE Transactions on Intelligent Vehicles, vol. 5, no. 1, pp. 112-126, March 2020, Doi: 10.1109/TIV.2019.2955371.

[29] Bhattacharya, "Electric Vehicle Charging Facility as a Smart Energy Microhub," in IEEE Transactions on Sustainable Energy, vol. 8, no. 2, pp. 616-628, April 2017, Doi: 10.1109/TSTE.2016.2614397.

[30] Henao, "Long-Trip Optimal Energy Planning with Online Mass Estimation for Battery Electric Vehicles," in IEEE Transactions on Vehicular Technology, vol. 64, no. 11, pp. 4929-4941, Nov. 2015, Doi: 10.1109/TVT.2014.2376700.

[31] Bindeshwar Singh, "Impact assessment of distributed generations with electric vehicles planning: A review," Journal of Energy Storage, vol. 43, pp. 1-22, 2021.

[32] Biswas, Kamal Chandra, "Power Quality Analysis for Distributed Generation and Electric Vehicle Integrated Distribution System," 55th International Universities Power Engineering Conference (UPEC), vol. 1, pp. 1–6, 2020.

[33] Pankaj Kumar Dubey, "Distributed power generation planning for distribution networks using electric vehicles: Systematic attention to challenges and opportunities," Journal of Energy Storage, vol. 48, no. 1, pp. 1-44, Jan. 2022.

[34] T. Yuvaraja Comprehensive Review and Analysis of the Allocation of Electric Vehicle Charging Stations in Distribution Networks," in IEEE Access, vol. 12, pp. 5404-5461, 2024, Doi: 10.1109/ACCESS.2023.3349274.

[35] Muhammad, Syed, Jong, an in-depth analysis of electric vehicle charging station infrastructure, policy implications, and future trends, Energy Reports, Volume 8,2022, Pages 11504-11529, ISSN 2352-4847, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.egyr.2022.09.011.

[36] Pankaj Kumar Dubey, Dr. Bindeshwar Singh, "Energy Storage Technologies: Types, Recent Trends, and Development", PARC2024, GLA Mathura, UP, India (IEEE) Doi: https://doi.org/10.1109/PARC59193.2024.10486412

[37] Pankaj Kumar Dubey, "Integration of Distributed generation and electric vehicles in the distribution system" Engineering application of Artificial Intelligence DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.engappai.2024.109036.

[38] Dubey, P.K., Singh, . et al. A novel approach for comparative analysis of distributed generations and electric vehicles in distribution systems. Electrical Engineering Springer (2023). https://doi.org/10.1007/s00202-023-02072-2.